

FPCMC PLANNING MEETING MINUTES

6/20/07

Attendees:

Columbia County:

Dennis Wittig
 Cathy Karlo
 Christine Vincent Lee
 Erik Pritzl
 Katie Parlier
 Wendy Metealf

Dodge County:

Kathryn Ryan
 David Titus
 Ken Kamps
 Denise Grabarkiewicz
 Judy Billington (Parent Advocate)
 Shirley Kitchen
 Sheila Drays
 Melanie Macdonald

Green Lake County:

Linda Van Ness
 Suzi Giesen
 Deb Lyons

Jefferson County:

Tom Schleitwiler
 Sue Torum
 Dan Gebuner
 Barb Dehnut
 Linda Langholff
 Jill Johnson

Marquette County:

Carol Wright
 Molli Huling
 Sylvia Phillis
 Jennifer Vote
 Cheryl Selbach
 Diane Voth

Ozaukee County:

Robert Haupt
 Frank Peterson
 Anne Conners
 Tom Meaux
 Michelle Pike
 Eileen Newby

Sauk County:

Sue Hebel
 William Orth
 Dan Brattset

Sheboygan County:

Joan Ketterman
 Ann Wondergem
 Liz Mahlock

Walworth County:

Lori Muzatko
 Etty Wilberding
 Andy Lamping
 Linda Seemeyer
 Pat Sharp

Washington County:

Michael Bloedorn
 Jim Strachota
 Mary Knoeck
 Doug Johnson
 Jenny Kertscher
 Jeanne Faber

Waukesha County:

Jack Bodien
 Russ Kutz
 Don Maurer
 Peter Schuler
 Mary Smith
 Steve Krafcheck
 Luann Page
 Antwayne Robertson

Waushara County:

Glenn Johnson
 Jim Surprise
 Kate Surprise
 John Timmerman
 Marilyn Traylor

Community Care, Inc.:

Paul F. Soczynski
 Stephanie Griggs

Community Living Alliance:

Todd Costello
 David Sievert
 Mary Olen

Elder Care of WI:

Ken Eimers
 Wayne Hagenbuch
 Craig DuPont
 Maggie McCullough
 Jill Melville

Lutheran Social Services:

Ed Kohl
 Elaine Reis

DHFS:

Gail Propsom
 Monica Deignan
 Judith Frye
 Diane Waller
 Chuck Wilhelm

ARC of Columbia County:

Anita Ostergard
 Jim Hoegemeier

WCA

Craig Thompson

Creatonomy:

Priya Barnes

SEWAAA:

- John Schnabl
- Brad Peele

Solaris Management:

- Michael Schumacker
- Michelle Jetzer

Age AdvantAge AAA:

- Bob Kellerman
- Jayne Mullins

Pathways to Independence:

- Susan Bohn
- Jackie Wells
- Darci Vickman

Community Integration Initiative

- Faith Fanger
- Darlene Kindt

Bethesda Luther Homes

- Darla Gehl

HearthStone of Wisconsin:

- Ray Cary
- Peggy Feider
- Sue Ann Schuh
- Frank Sterzen

Opportunities, Inc.:

- Gina Groskepf

Jefferson County:

- Linda Langhaff
- Barbara Dehneit

St. Coletta

- Kim Ray

Citizens:

- Ken Ramminger
- Wayne Jessen

VIP Services, Inc.:

- Joel Nelson
- Nancy Ward
- Cindy Simonson

VIP Services:

- Cindy Simonsen

Northwoods, Inc. of WI

- Susan Winter

Rainbow Hospice Care

- Karen Locke Carrig

Creative Community Living Srvs

- Mary Heiden

Fond du Lac County

- Mary Ann Flood
- Jeanne Velie

1. Meeting was convened at 9:35 AM.
2. David Titus provided the group a bit of insight.
3. There was no public comment at this time. Members introduced themselves as county ES staff attended today's meeting.
4. Minutes from 6/6/07 were approved and will be posted to the website. Updated version of the 5/16/07 meeting minutes were distributed as there were changes made to the ADRC section.
5. a. ESS Support: Two representatives from Fond du Lac County came to today's meeting to give an overview on their experience with Family Care. They explained how they got their funding and the working relationship with the CMO. Two ES workers are located in the same building as the CMO. It was stressed several times how helpful it is to be at the same location. State has commented that they like working with Fond du Lac County due to positive outcomes. In the beginning the state had meetings with them to answer questions and conduct trainings. Also regular meetings were scheduled with ADRC, but as the transition began to be ironed out, the frequency of meetings are now quarterly and phone contact/e-mails are sufficient for communication. The following questions were asked by the county ES workers that attended today's meeting:
 1. Do you follow after application process? Yes, individuals may be bumped from home to nursing homes, to CBRF's, and back home. Every year they are reviewed.
 2. Does ADRC make referrals to ES? Yes, ES will do an assessment and if eligible, will gather information. Appointment times are set aside for reviews, but additional ones may be added or home visit made for convenience of the consumer.
 3. Is there a time limit on a referral? No, will start within 30 days of application with ES. However, if there is immediate assistance needed, ES will rush the application through. Social Services will also be involved at that time if need be.
 4. Who decides if an ES worker is located in the CMO office? The CMO's will mostly likely request to have an in-house worker. Each CMO will have local offices in each county. There is ongoing work involved with the CMO compared to the ADRC. There are pros and cons to both and depending on the size of the county, you could have both and may start this way during the assessment process. Again it was stressed that working face-to-face rather than phoning/e-mailing with the CMO is very beneficial in all aspects.
 5. If the county is small and only one person is in that position, should they still be located at the CMO office? Fond du Lac County reps suggested that would not be a good idea. If alone there is no back up (sick, vacation) and if problems there is no one around for assistance. Paul will draft a letter and will be reviewed at next meeting regarding state funding to cover ES workload.
 6. How do you time management your caseload? Is it more time consuming to do Family Care verses waiver? Is there overtime? There is no overtime, but flex time is used (in FDL County). There are two extra screens for Family Care. Everyone was trained in Family Care. FDL County stated not remembering extra stress on caseload than normal. Additional positions were filled if needed (some were temporary).
 7. What is the average caseload and is it workable? It is stated that 325 is standard, but FDL County reported that with two ES workers, each have approximately 450 ongoing cases (not including new applications). Every county will have different numbers, but same data is used. Reports from state and computer systems will flag individuals that their coverage is ending.
 8. How often are you involved in disputes regarding coverage? FDL reported a fair amount. If the consumer is disputing services/coverage, the CMO will handle the situation, but ES will be involved only if the issue regards eligibility coverage.
 9. What if a county is too small to have an experienced ES worker be in-house with CMO? Each county will have to determine what will work for them. If new people are hired, they may bring in new ideas. Working together with all departments will have a positive outcome for all involved.

10. Can a time be set aside for all county ES workers to network together and possibly have a state rep attend to answer questions/concerns? This is scheduled during the FPCMC meeting on 8/1/07. Paul will call the state to find out who would be available to attend.
 - b. County Negotiations: There was no discussion during today's meeting. It was agreed that this will remain on the agenda.
 - c. RFP Updates: Paul sent out a checklist to counties for certifications. This needs to be done before implementation in 2008. CMO's stated they are very busy.
 - d. IT: Ken reported no additional information at this time. It was brought to the group's attention that the state has contracted with TMG. Will counties have to work with them and what input does IT workgroup have on this? If so, will we need additional funding for our IT system to interface with them?
 - e. ADRC: Proposal to Provide Resource Database Management was discussed by John of Southwestern Wisconsin Area Agency on Aging. Questions were raised about future funding. A motion was carried to have funding grant for services up to and including the amount listed. If issues come, will be discussed and negotiated at that time.
 - f. Finance Workgroup: Paul distributed updated draft of Care Management Unit Rate Setting Methodology. A brief discussed occurred.
 - g. Communication/Stakeholder Workgroup: No update at this time.
 - h. FPCMOC MOU: Paul e-mailed to members the updated version. No comments at this time.
6. Consumer Corps Training: Meeting is scheduled in Beaver Dam next week. Paul, Dave, and Sue will be the attendees.
7. No other business.
8. There were no public comments at the end of the meeting.
9. Next meeting is scheduled for 7/18/07.
10. Meeting was adjourned at 11:47 AM.