

Family Partnership Care Management Coalition Meeting  
Minutes  
November 8, 2006

**Present:**

Attendees:

Columbia County:

Sandra Roberts  
 Dennis Wittig  
 Cathy Karlo

Dodge County

Kathryn Ryan  
 David Titus  
 Gary Galbraith

Green Lake County

Linda Van Ness  
 Suzi Giesen

Jefferson County

Tom Schleitwiler  
 Sue Torum  
 Dan Gebuner

Marquette County

Carol Wright  
 Molli Huling  
 Sylvia Phillis

Ozaukee County

Robert Haupt  
 Frank Peterson  
 Anne Connors

Sauk County

Sue Hebel  
 William Orth  
 Dan Brattset

Sheboygan County

Joan Ketterman  
 Ann Wndergem

Walworth County

Lori Muzatko  
 Etty Wilberding

Washington County

Michael Bloedorn  
 Jim Strachota  
 Mary Knoeck

Waukesha County

Jack Bodien  
 Russ Kutz  
 Don Maurer  
 Peter Schuler  
 Mary Smith

Waushara County

Glenn Johnson  
 Jim Surprise  
 Kate Surprise  
 John Timmerman

Community Care, Inc.

Paul F. Soczynski

Community Living Alliance

Todd Costello  
 David Sievert

Elder Care of WI

Ken Eimers  
 Wayne Hagenbuch  
 Craig DuPont

Lutheran Social Services

Ed Kohl  
 Elaine Reis

The Management Group

Peter Tropman

DHFS

Gail Propsom  
 Secretary Nelson  
 Judith Frye  
 Diane Waller

SEWAAA

John Schnabl

ARC of Columbia County

Anita Ostergard  
 Jim Hoegemeier

Creatonomy

Priya Barnes

Solaris Management

Michael Schumacker  
 Michelle Jetzer

Citizens

Ken Ramminger

**1. Review agenda for the day**

The meeting was convened at 9:30 a.m. by Paul Soczynski. The minutes of the 10/16/06 meeting were approved on a motion by Carol Wright, second by Sandy Robertson. The agenda, with the addition of “Public Comments”, was approved.

**2. Dave Titus offered the humor of the day.**

**3. Determine who is taking minutes – Linda Van Ness**

**4. Agree on a procedure to have professional minutes for each meeting.**

Discussion occurred regarding the need for the minutes to be consistent and structured and whether this could best be accomplished by paying someone to take the minutes on a regular basis. Motion by Ann Wondergem, second by Ety Wilberding that Dave Titus will check within his Department to see if someone would be able to take the minutes. Motion carried.

**5. Guiding Principles**

No changes made.

**6. Public Comment**

Jim Hogemeier, Arc - Wisconsin, stated that he has been traveling the state since February visiting the various consortiums and offered the following regarding the Long Term Care Reform process

1. How can DHFS pay for this?
2. What is the role of the county?
3. Their (Arc) participation will make it easier for them to represent consumers

Jim noted that the list of questions presented by the FPCMC were very similar to those that Arc developed. Jim expressed his appreciation for being able to attend and noted “Wherever we are... we’re all together”.

**7. Review the Governance Options (Grid Attached)**

Discussion occurred as follows regarding the governance models and specifically around the “Preferred Governance Committee Option” (One CMO is formed to operate Family Care and Partnership):

A number of assumptions were the same for all of the options listed – it was suggested that the next draft highlight the features that are constant/different, i.e. simplify the document.

Revisions should also address the Family Care District issues

- how to bring Partnerships into this model
- further define risk as it relates to the counties (how much risk is each county comfortable with?)
- if counties have ownership, should the rate reflect their level of ownership?
- should the language be more investment focused vs. risk focused?

The county contribution was discussed and specifically the current WCA proposal that starts with the State chart data and decreases over 5 years capped at 22%

Start-up costs were addressed as one of the biggest unknowns

- can any of the planning grant funds be used?
- will the Racine/Kenosha experience be a precedence for start-up?
- will start-up funding be addressed as part of the capitated rate vs. separate funding as suggested in the discussion with Secretary Nelson/Judith Frye?

66.0301 Structure was discussed and specifically regarding the fact that it applies only to governmental agencies which excludes the Partnerships. WCA is working on drafting legislation that could address this.

Could a Family Care District hire current county staff? How does it work if the Family Care Entity hires new staff – are new staff hired at a lower rate?

What would be the impact to current community providers?

It was noted that for the consortium to be able to bid, there would need to be a strong Managed Care partner as part of the bidding entity and that the various required roles must be clearly outlined.

Paul offered to rework the Governance model for discussion at the next meeting.

## **8. WCA and WHCSA updates**

WCHSA President Gerry Huber is preparing a letter to address LTC transition and funding issues.

The WCA LTC meeting for County Board members/executives is scheduled for 11/9/06 at the Kalahari in the Wisconsin Dells.

## **9. Update from Communication/Stakeholder Workgroups**

Joan Ketterman reviewed the following draft:

“Meetings of the Family Partnership Care Management Coalition are held for the purpose of planning for long-term care redesign in twelve counties. Voting members are representatives of those counties and of private agencies who formed the coalition and received planning money. Members discuss design options and methods for delivering the best care for people who will become consumer members.

The activity of planning is a dynamic process, one that involves discussion and change. It is recognized that parties who are not voting members are interested in the process. They may not only have questions, but also may offer suggestions to further the planning efforts. Those parties are welcome to attend the full meeting as observers. The meeting agenda will include 15 minutes for comments by interested parties and stakeholders.

Because the number of voting participants and their representatives is large, seating for non-members is limited. Seating will be made available in a section reserved for stakeholders.

If interested parties seek further discussion outside of the coalition meeting, they may speak with the representative from their county of residence or the representative from a private agency to learn the contact information for that entity's long-term care planning contact person.

Coalition meetings are generally held on the first and third meeting of every month at the Dodge County Office Building at 143 East Center Street, Juneau, Wisconsin. Street parking is recommended.

The Family Partnership Care Management Coalition is in the process of developing a website. Coalition meeting minutes will be posted on the website upon completion of the project."

Motion by Don Mauer, second by Ann Wondergem to approve. Discussed followed with a friendly amendment offered to put "Public Comment" near the end of the agenda and change language to "up to 15 minutes". All ayes. Motion carried.

Website update: This is in development and will be reviewed following the FPCMC meeting.

Most partners have responded to Stakeholder Inventory – those that have not are encouraged to do so ASAP.

The workgroup will be meeting following today to discuss staff education.

#### **10. Update from ADRC Workgroup**

The November meeting has been cancelled.

The group will be looking at the cost model and initial assessment functions. They also plan to break into sub-groups to look at job descriptions and develop talking points for developing ADRC's.

Partners were encouraged to use the same information in any presentations and marketing to ensure that the message is consistent.

#### **11. Update form the IT Workgroup**

Ken Eimers reported that the group had it's first meeting last week and reviewed areas to be covered including developing a mission/scope including identifying alternatives and options that are least disruptive and make use of current systems. The group plans to finalize goals and a work plan and develop a gap analysis at their next meeting 11/30/06. Discussion occurred regarding the need to have a plan with deliverables ready in spring for use in budget preparations.

The workgroup also plans to check with other consortia regarding IT issues and progress.

The minutes and list of participants will be sent out to partners.

**12. Confirm next meeting dates**

- a. Wednesday, November 15, 2006
- b. Wednesday, December 6, 2006
- c. Wednesday, December 20, 2006

**13. Evaluate the meeting**

**Future Agenda topics:**

Discuss LTC issues regarding children

Outcomes training and staff trainings in general regarding skills needed in a redesigned system

Development of a timeline for implementation

Review data collected from all FPCMC counties

Review/Revise Guiding Principles

**14. Adjourn**

Submitted by:

Linda Van Ness