

FPCMC PLANNING MEETING MINUTES
12/20/06

Present:

Attendees:

Columbia County:

Sandra Roberts

Dennis Wittig

Cathy Karlo

Christine Vincent Lee

Dodge County

Kathryn Ryan

David Titus

Gary Galbraith

Denise Grabarkiewicz

Green Lake County

Linda Van Ness

Suzi Giesen

Jefferson County

Tom Schleitwiler

Sue Torum

Dan Gebuner

Marquette County

Carol Wright

Mollie Huling

Sylvia Phillis

Ozaukee County

Robert Haupt

Frank Peterson

Anne Connors

Tom Meaux

Sauk County

Sue Hebel

William Orth

Dan Brattset

Sheboygan County

Joan Ketterman

Ann Wondergem

Walworth County

Lori Muzatko

Etty Wilberding

Washington County

Michael Bloedorn

Jim Strachota

Mary Knoeck

Waukesha County

Jack Bodien

Russ Kutz

Don Maurer

Peter Schuler

Mary Smith

Waushara County

Glenn Johnson

Jim Surprise

Kate Surprise

John Timmerman

Community Care, Inc.

Paul F. Soczynski

Community Living Alliance

Todd Costello

David Sievert

Elder Care of WI

Ken Eimers

Wayne Hagenbuch

Craig DuPont

Lutheran Social Services

Ed Kohl

Elaine Reis

DHFS

Gail Propsom

Secretary Nelson

Judith Frye

Diane Waller

ARC of Columbia County

Anita Ostergard

Jim Hoegemeier

SEWAAA

John Schnabl

Creatonomy

Priya Barnes

Citizens

Ken Ramminger

Solaris Management

Michael Schumacker

Michelle Jetzer

Rainbow Hospice Care:

Karen Locke Carrig

VIP Services, Inc.

Joel Nelson

Nancy Ward

Age AdvantAge AAA

Jayne Mullins

1. Meeting was convened at 9:30 AM by David Titus.
2. David Titus offered humor of the day.
3. There were no public comments at this time.
4. Joan Ketterman (Sheboygan) stated that the website was reviewed and the site is loaded. It will be up and running by the beginning of 2007. There is no additional information regarding staff training at this time. The curriculum and format is still being worked on. The next meeting is scheduled in January of 2007.

Priya Barnes (Creatonomy) distributed a handout that was explained in detail. Each county was asked to share stories that would support major points in the programs whether it was a successful one or where there are gaps that need improvements. However, it was discussed that if a county has an exceptionally successful or powerful story to submit that one. There is no need for repetitious stories (ex. client is in need of medical/housing with little or no-they are funded for their needs) as there are many stories of that same nature. An e-mail will be sent regarding date stories need to be submitted. It was brought up that the date indicated in the packet stated to be prepared to respond to RFP by April of 2007 may be unrealistic. Late spring or even July of 2007 was discussed as far as the timeline to present to the boards. However, the PowerPoint presentation will be done within three weeks so counties need to make their decision before they approach their board members with the information.

5. Paul Soczynski (Community Care) reviewed briefly Option A again to the members, but discussed in more detail Option B as it was revised since last meeting. Bob Haupt (Ozaukee) reiterated to the members that counties need to work together with the HMO's on the committee on all aspects and to vote on Option B. He pointed out that because a decision has not been made, his county programs are being held up due to this. The question of whether the twelve counties would all be done at once or would it be county to HMO or HMO to each region. Also how would it be distributed-would it be whether who was on the waiting list or waiver. Wayne (Elder Care) stated that CMS applications should be made by March of 2007 to implement partnership and also stated he feels the counties need to work together to make a decision.
6. The subject of county employees was discussed. Counties managers are vested in having their county staff remain a part of whatever the reformed system looks like. Counties anticipate being subcontractors with the HMOs for care management services as the system is reformed under managed care. The Partnership Organizations are committed to this investment as well. All partners agree that this philosophy is in the best interest of the clients currently served and waiting for services. It was also acknowledged that there would be a need for even more care managers as people on waiting lists are added to those being served.
7. Voting on which option:
 - Should it be unanimous or not?

- If not unanimous, should there be further discussion?

After checking with representatives from each county, all were in agreement to vote. No public comments at this time. Motion to vote was done by Mike Bloedorn (Washington) and Bob Haupt (Ozaukee) second it. A roll call vote was done and Paul Soczynski (Community Care demonstrating support for Option B.

Questions/concerns were brought to the table in regards to when the program is implemented:

- Do we need a county to volunteer to go first?
- Do a cluster of counties go at a time?
- What programs will be in the county?
- Can the county choose what program they want?
- Are the HMOs consistent in each county or will there be differences in policies for each county?
- Will the state determine where funding is distributed?
- The planning grant was given to twelve counties, what if there is not sufficient funding for all twelve?

8. Gail (DHFS) attending today's meeting and stated that they are still negotiating on where/how the funding will be distributed. She stated that RFP will be approved all at once, but funding may be done in stages. It is still a wait and see process. She will go back with the concerns/questions raised in today's meeting.

9. Topics of discussion at next meeting will be:

- Answers to the questions/concerns directed to the state (listed above)
- HMO representatives will meet prior to next meeting and will give counties a proposed timeline
- Next step for beginning discussions with County Boards and respective committees
- Proposals from the HMOs on which counties will be approached about working with them.

10. Sue Torum (Jefferson) summed up and reported on last meeting of the ADRC.

11. Ken Eimers (Elder Care) was not present, therefore Bob (Ozaukee) presented update from last IT Workgroup meeting. IBM did a presentation on "Pen Technology". This is a computer program that will allow you to document information on mandated forms and is recorded through a web base network electronically. This will allow the forms to be done correctly, is cost efficient, is secured for confidentiality, and will be effective for audits, etc. More information will be gathered before next meeting and ideas will be explored further. There is a website with information that will be e-mailed out to the members.

12. During public comments it was stated again that other advocacy groups feel good about the role the counties are taking in making the right decision. The groups support fully and indicated they would give any input if need be.

13. The 1/3/07 has been cancelled. The next meeting will be Wednesday, January 17, 2007

14. Sandra Roberts (Columbia) indicated that this will be her last meeting as she is retiring. Well wishes were expressed to her.

15. Meeting was adjourned at 11:40 AM.