

Family Partnership Care Management Coalition
Meeting Minutes
August 16, 2006

Present:

Attendees:

Columbia County:

Sandra Roberts

Dennis Wittig

Dodge County

Kathryn Ryan

David Titus

Green Lake County

Linda Van Ness

Jefferson County

Tom Schleitwiler

Sue Torum

Marquette County

Carol Wright

Ozaukee County

Robert Haupt

Frank Peterson

Sauk County

Sue Hebel

William Orth

Sheboygan County

Joan Ketterman

Ann Wndergem

Walworth County

Lori Muzatko

Washington County

Michael Bloedorn

Jim Strachota

Waukesha County

Jack Bodien

Russ Kutz

Don Maurer

Waushara County

Glenn Johnson

Jim Surprise

Community Care, Inc.

Paul F. Soczynski

Community Living Alliance

Todd Costello

David Sievert

Elder Care of WI

Ken Eimers

Wayne Hagenbuch

Lutheran Social Services

Ed Kohl

Elaine Reis

The Management Group

Peter Tropman

DHFS

Gail Propsom

Paul convened this meeting at 9:35 a.m.

Organizational Model

Paul presented the revised Family Partnership Organizational model with changes recommended by group members. The changes were incorporated to allow for ease of presentation to County Boards. Mental Health and AODA will be added to the issue statement regarding ADRC's.

ADRC's and CMO's need separation. The ADRC work group will in the future need to diagram functions of ADRC and how separation will be achieved.

Bill reported on the recent executive committee conference call regarding financial considerations of establishing a CMO. We need to look at how start up funds and risk reserve will be funded. Many counties had not given this alot of thought passed what they would need to contribute based on state assumptions. Paul offered the use of the PACE feasibility model as a way to obtain specific financial information.

The model would be used to run the numbers for possibly three counties, a large, medium, and small county. The counties suggested included Waukesha, Washington, Green Lake and Sauk. A conference call is scheduled for 8-22-06 at 3:00 p.m. to begin work on this assignment.

Related to this issue Paul informed the group that the State issued an RFP for Racine and Kenosha CMO. Both counties are looking to Community Care to fund both start up and risk reserve.

WCHSA Update

WCHSA is in discussions with the State regarding the State's CMO funding assumptions. Discussion centered on the use of Community Aids vs. tax levy (General Purpose Revenue) to fund CMO operations. Assumptions are currently based on 2005 HSRS data. Budgets since 2005 have changed and the need to update State calculations was discussed. In 2007 funding for this initiative will be debated by the legislature for the next bi-annual budget.

TMG Update

No Report.

Invoice Payments

To date, LSS has received payment from six partners.

ADRC Update

The ADRC workgroup has met four times and toured several existing ADRC's throughout the state. They feel that they are at the point of plan development. They will use the current ADRC RFP as a guide. Models could include county stand alone, counties sharing resources and or multiple county efforts.

Communication/Stakeholder Education Update

The educational workshops designed for county staff have been well attended. The first session provided information to 72 people. 100 people have pre-registered for the second session. The second presentation will be modified based on lessons learned. The workgroup proposed that hiring a marketing/public relations consultant to provide a simplified, consistent message to County Boards/other stakeholders would positively affect outcomes. Specifications regarding deliverables will be developed by the workgroup and reviewed by the entire group via email. Special attention to possible conflict of interests was emphasized. L.S.S., as fiscal agent, will solicit proposals and let the contract.

DHFS Response

The response to our letter indicating our interest in pursuing a fully integrated CMO model was reviewed and discussed. The State is communicating with CMS to allow this to happen.

The LTC Planning Grants – Quarterly Report was submitted to the State and copies were made available to group members.

Review Work Plan Draft

A draft work plan was shared with the group. Currently, we are concentrating on fiscal issues but we need to also begin to work on I.T. issues. Ken is willing to lead this workgroup. It was suggested that partner IT representation will be necessary to ensure successful outcomes. Partners should come to the next meeting with names of individuals to staff this workgroup. Paul will communicate with the other Project Coordinators regarding the possibility of some type of shared system.

Next meeting dates: September 6
September 21 (note change)

Submitted by Jack Bodien